IDEA-0756 Copy 7 of 7

6 May 1962

USAF Declass/Release Instructions On File

MEAGANIAM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: U-2 Fuel Contamination (UBAF/OBI Investigation of Sugrected Sabotage)

- 1. The undersigned has been assisting a special URAF/OSI team investigating the possibility of sabotage involved in the recent reports of ME255244 (mil. ages. fuel) not meeting specifications at the various project and SAC U-2 logations. Although the investigation is still in process, there are certain conclusions arearent at the time which might suggest a change in fuel handling procedures:
 - a. Although there have been some lasteness of water and dirt contemination, the greater problem seems to stem from samples taken at location which upon testing indicate that certain lets of mil. spec. fuel did not meet thermal stability specifications.
 - b. Although there is some difference of opinion, Pratt & Whitney experts state that deterioration of thermal stability is a factor which could cause engine malfunction with little warming particularly when the aircraft with thermally unstable fuels is operated at maximum altitude for periods up to 10 hours. They salvise that when the fuel does not meet thermal stability spees, "cracking" of the fuel takes place due to the high engine temperature. This results in a "coking" condition causing fuel manifolds and nossles to partially clog. The result is that after a period of time an uneven fuel apray pattern will be created causing uneven temperatures throughout the engine, resulting in buckling, the condition manifesting itself more probably in an engine part failure prior to any actual fuel starvation. Whereas it is conceded that the development of such a condition should be evidenced in advance by an uneven tailpipe temperature distribution, P&W advises that U-2 pilot controls provide for only a one point tailpipe temperature check. They further advise that present tech rep procedures do not provide for a multiple point tailpipe temperature check, therefore, the condition would not be evident until the "hot spot" inspection. Fall advises they are now taking remedial action as a result of the fuel incident.

- c. It is memerally concluded, but not yet established, that mil. spec. fuel is meeting thermal stability specifications at point of samufacture. In many instances it is not meeting the specifications at the detachments. Whereas PAV favors the use of the LFIA fuel, the fact that they have confidence that their engine will function properly with mil. spec. fuel (which meets specifications) is certainly evidenced by the fact that they have periodically increased the required time for engine "hot spot" inspections and are now up to 400 bours for J57 engines, 200 hrs for J75s. Pan experts advise that they do not believe that there are any animous substances in the fuel itself which would cause an inner deterioration of the thermal stability over a period of time. In gast tests have indicated that thermal stability is almost directly related to storage stability. They conclude that contamination is taking place probably during shipment and they feel that it is directly related to the change in handling procedures for the shipping of project fuel. Previously, all U-2 fuel was shipped in sealed steel drums under very carefully controlled conditions. For some period of time now much fuel has been shipped by tank truck. The incidence of possible fuel contemination, whether intentional or accidental, have thereby been increased. Perversely however, Materiel Branch advises that the project has had a history of thermally unstable fuel originating from the Socony Refinery at Torrance, California. The test results in this instance do not support the theory that scaled drum transport is preferrable to tenk truck.
- sections taken from aircraft engines involved in the early 1959, SAC, Del Rio fuel contamination incident. On this occasion the fuel in question had been shipped by tank truck from the Rowell Refinery in San Antonio, Texas to Del Rio and had developed thermal instability. The photographs clearly show the resulting "coking" action. Because of the 1959 incident (and because both SAC and CIA operate from a common engine pool) PAN instituted as part of their engine "hot spot" checks an automatic replacement of normles and fuel manifolds. This undoubtedly contributes to PAN's confidence in the engine in spite of mil. spec. usage; a confidence which in all fairness to PAN is based upon the presumption that mil. spec. meets the required specs. at point of usage.
- e. It has been pointed out that there are three knows means of contamination which could result in a deterioration of thermal stability:
 - (1) Comparatively minute contamination with other fuels such as JP-6 or with the Valspar type of solvents. PAN experts point out that this could result very easily from a failure to empty drums or tank cars completely of a previous loading of such materials.

- (2) Catalysis: In this instance the entalytic agent would be copper. The experts point out that extremely minute quantities of copper, in some instances indiscernible through chemical analysis, could set off an action which over a relatively short period of time (30-40 days) would effect the thermal stability of the fuel. Such a condition could be the result of using brass notates in transferring the fuel; sufficient quantities of copper to cause this reaction could be found in certain types of stainless steel, bronse, etc. Pentagon experts point out that minute quantities of sulphur could have the same effect.
- (3) Aeration: Although it does not appear to be as critical & factor, the experts agree that pumping of large amounts of air into the fuel could set off an action which could cause thermal stability deterioration. This could be caused by slushing, frequent transfer of the fuel from one container to another, and by actually pumping air into the fuel.

1.	Whereas	it would	appear !	to be the	more probe	ble pre-
summation	at this	time the	t the fu	i contam	instion whi	.ch has
taken pl	toe has t	een the	result of	f careles	s handling	(cereless
in the s	mee that	the her	grine go	es not me	et the esti	456
requirem	ente of t	his proj	ect), the	e element	of purpose	um cou-
			ruled or	it. De	possibilit)	or
salotace	exists.	7				

25X1A

vige

Approved For Release 2003/01/24: CHA RDP63-00313A000600100140-0

- 2. Based upon information developed to date, the undersigned recommends the following action subject to the concurrence of Development and Material Branches:
 - a. Institute a policy of 3-point testing for all fuel lots from samples taken at: (1) point of manufacture, (2) point of shipment and (3) point of usage. (It is understood that Materiel Branch has already instituted action along this line.)
 - 5. Communicate to the detachments the critical mature of thermal stability problem and the opinions of the experts as to the contaminants responsible for deterioration of thermal stability, in order that they might be slart to these factors.
 - c. Request PAN to formally clarify what tech rep procedures they intend to institute providing for multiple point tailpips temperature checks.
- 3. It is further suggested that consideration of the following recommendations might be deferred for the time being swaiting more conclusive results from the OSI investigation:
 - a. Return to scaled drum transport of all project fuel in addition to careful drum clean out procedures at point of shipment.

	But a Section of the						
25X1C							
]	25X1A		
			1	I			

LPM/AD

Distribution:

- 1 30/DPD
- 2 C/MB/DPD
- 3 AC/DPD
- 4 Aset C/DPD
- 5 C/SPB/DPD
- 6 C/DB/DPD
- 7 AI/MD